1	STATE OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURT WAUKESHA COUNTY
2	BRANCH 2
3	BRANCH Z
4	STATE OF WISCONSIN,
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs. Case No. 2022 CF 1257
7	MICHAEL Y. LIU,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	
11	PLEA & SENTENCING HEARING
12	
13	HONORABLE JENNIFER DOROW
14	Circuit Court Judge, presiding
15	Waukesha County Circuit Court
16	
17	
18	November 30, 2022
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported and Transcribed by:
24	Michelle M. Strehl, Court Reporter
25	

A P P E A R A N C E S JACK PITZO, Assistant District Attorney, appeared on behalf of the State. JONATHAN V. LAVOY, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the Defendant. LINDSEY M. ANDERSON, Attorney at Law, appeared in court for Victim A.

1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 (Proceeding commenced at 9:04 a.m.) THE COURT: Well, good morning. I will call 4 5 State of Wisconsin versus Michael Liu, 22CF1257. Appearances, 6 please. 7 MR. PITZO: Jack Pitzo on behalf of the State. MR. LAVOY: Jonathan LaVoy appears with Mr. 8 Liu. Mr. Liu appears in person. Good morning. 10 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. We're 11 here today for plea and sentencing. I believe the State is in 12 compliance with Victim Rights. Is that correct? MR. PITZO: Yes, Judge. Victim A is here 13 present in court. She's also represented by counsel, who will 14 15 assist her during the hearing. 16 THE COURT: All right. And that is Attorney 17 Lindsey Anderson. And so the record should reflect she is 18 here as well. 19 All right. It's my understanding that the 20 parties have reached a resolution in this matter. Would the 21 State please put the offer on the record? 22

MR. PITZO: Sure, Judge. Upon a plea of guilty to Count 2, battery - domestic violence, Count 4, criminal damage to property - domestic violence, and Count 6, contact after domestic abuse arrest, the State would agree to

23

24

25

dismiss and read in the remaining counts, along with a new referral for bail jumping.

There is a read-in list that I just -- I noticed was not filed in this case. And I did file it probably 3 or 4 minutes ago. And I believe it's for bail jumping.

I believe both parties would stipulate to two years of probation with the following conditions, both sides free to argue condition time. And I'll get to our argument in a second on that.

But no contact with the victim unless otherwise allowed in 22FA767, complete New Thresholds Program, any other counseling deemed appropriate by the agent, no firearms or any dangerous weapons, follow all family court orders in 22FA767, DNA sample and surcharge, absolute sobriety with random screens, and any request in restitution, which in this case is \$8,757.78. And that's to Victim A.

The State is going to be arguing for 60 days of condition time. But, again, both sides are free to argue on that.

I understand that some of these conditions are a little bit different than what the victim is going to ask for, and she'll explain that.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And then, Attorney LaVoy, that's your understanding of the State's

```
pretrial offer and what their recommendation will be today?
 1
 2
                    MR. LAVOY:
                                That is correct. I did inform the
 3
   Court that we are not in agreement on restitution. And
    alcohol was not a factor in these events, so we don't think a
 4
   no alcohol provision is necessary.
 5
                    THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 6
 7
                    And Mr. Liu, did you hear the recitation of
   the pretrial offer?
 8
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
                    THE COURT: Is that your understanding of the
10
11
    offer and what the State will be recommending today
12
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                    THE COURT: There's an information in this
    case which charges you with nine -- I'm sorry, eight offenses.
14
    It's my understanding you are going to enter guilty pleas to
15
    three of those.
16
17
                    Have you had an opportunity to both review the
18
    information and the criminal complaint upon which these
    charges are based?
19
20
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
21
                    THE COURT: Do you understand what the
22
    complaint says you did between December 20th, 2021, through
23
    July 21 of 2022, in the City of Brookfield?
2.4
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
25
                    THE COURT: For purposes of your plea and
```

sentencing hearing today, are the facts in the complaint 1 2 substantially true and correct? THE DEFENDANT: 3 Yes. 4 THE COURT: Count 2 charges you with misdemeanor battery - domestic abuse. It states that on or 5 about Wednesday, July 20th of 2022, at a residence in the City 6 7 of Brookfield, Waukesha County, Wisconsin, that you did cause bodily harm to Victim A by an act done with intent to cause 8 bodily harm to that person without that person's consent contrary to Wisconsin law. 10 11 This is a Class A Misdemeanor. And upon 12 conviction, you may be fined not more than \$10,000, or imprisoned not more than 9 months, or both. And because this 13 charge is an act of domestic abuse, costs upon conviction 14 would include the domestic abuse assessment. 15 16 Sir, do you understand this charge and penalties you face? 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Count 4 charges you with criminal 20 damage to property. It states that on or about Wednesday,

THE COURT: Count 4 charges you with criminal damage to property. It states that on or about Wednesday,

July 20, 2022, at a residence in the City of Brookfield,

Waukesha County, Wisconsin, you did intentionally cause damage to the physical property of another. Specifically, an Ipad and blocks belonging to Victim A without that person's consent contrary to Wisconsin law.

21

22

23

24

25

This also is a Class A Misdemeanor, and upon 1 2 conviction, you may be fined not more than \$10,000, or imprisoned not more than 9 months, or both. And because this 3 charge is an act of domestic abuse, costs upon conviction would include the domestic abuse assessment. Do you understand this charge and penalties 6 7 I've stated? THE DEFENDANT: 8 Yes. THE COURT: Count 6 charges you with contact after a domestic abuse arrest. It states that on or about 10 11 Thursday, July 21st of 2022, at a residence in the City of 12 Brookfield, Waukesha County, Wisconsin, as a person arrested 13 for a domestic abuse incident, that you did intentionally fail to avoid the residence of Victim A, an alleged victim of the 14 15 domestic abuse incident, during the 72 hours immediately following your arrest for disorderly conduct and domestic 16 17 abuse, all contrary to Wisconsin law. 18 This is a misdemeanor. And upon conviction, you may be find not more than \$10,000, or imprisoned for not 19 20 more than 9 months, or both. 21 Do you understand this charge and penalties 22 that you face? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes 24 THE COURT: All of the other charges, sir, 25 will be dismissed and read in. Do you understand the effect

```
of those read-in charges?
 1
 2
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                                Those are charges for which you
 3
                    THE COURT:
                             The penalties you face for the three
 4
   will not be convicted.
   will not increase in any way. But I can nonetheless consider
    the conduct related to the read-in charges at the time of
 6
 7
    sentencing. And even order restitution based upon those if I
 8
    deem it appropriate. Do you understand that?
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes
                                There's a read-in list that has
10
                    THE COURT:
   been filed.
11
12
                    Are you aware of that, Attorney LaVoy?
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes.
13
                    THE COURT: And have you seen it previously?
14
15
                    MR. LAVOY:
                                I have not seen it previously, but
    I was made aware of the previous events. I believe the State
16
17
    just filed that just moments ago.
18
                    THE COURT: What's the date of violation
    related to that?
19
20
                    Do you have that, Madam Clerk?
                    THE CLERK: I'm looking right now.
21
22
                    (Discussion off record.)
23
                    MR. LAVOY: Madam Clerk, could you point print
   that off for me?
24
25
                                The date of violation is July 26th
                    MR. PITZO:
```

```
1
    of 2022. And it's not a -- it's not a bail jump.
    knowingly violated domestic abuse temporary restraining order.
 2
 3
                    THE COURT: All right.
 4
                    MR. PITZO: And I can e-mail it to it Attorney
 5
   LaVoy.
 6
                    THE COURT: All right. Are you and your
7
    client aware of the underlying conduct on July 26th of 2022
   that forms the basis for the read in?
 8
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes.
                    THE COURT: And is that true, Mr. Liu?
10
11
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
12
                    THE COURT: So you understand even as an
    uncharged read in, I can consider the facts concerning that
13
14
    incident?
15
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
16
                    THE COURT: In anticipation of your change of
17
   plea today, did you and your attorney review a Plea
18
    Questionnaire/Waiver of Rights Form?
19
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
20
                    THE COURT: Did you do that earlier this
21
   morning?
22
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
23
                    THE COURT: Did you also talk with your
24
   attorney more fully on prior occasions regarding the pretrial
25
   offer and the entry of a plea in this case?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 1
 2
                    THE COURT: Did you sign this Plea
   Questionnaire/Waiver of Rights Form today?
 3
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
                    THE COURT: Do you understand everything in
 5
 6
   the document?
 7
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    I do.
                    THE COURT: Are the answers to the questions
 8
   on the form and the information that you and your attorney
10
   wrote on the form all true and correct?
11
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                    THE COURT: You are 36 years of age, and you
12
   have a master's degree. Is that true?
13
14
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    That's correct.
                    THE COURT: Do you read, write, and understand
15
   English?
16
17
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                    THE COURT: Do you understand all of the
19
   charges to which you will be entering your guilty pleas today?
20
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
21
                    THE COURT: Are you currently receiving
22
   treatment for a mental illness or disorder?
23
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
                    THE COURT: Have you had any alcohol,
25
   medications, or drugs within the last 24 hours?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT:
 1
                                     No.
                    THE COURT: Have you had any prescription
 2
 3
   medication for any reason, including perhaps a heart issue?
                    THE DEFENDANT: Only for my heart condition.
 4
                    THE COURT: All right. But have you had that
 5
   medication?
 6
 7
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
                                          Mm-hmm.
                    THE COURT: All right. Have you had it within
 8
    the last 24 hours?
10
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
                    THE COURT: Have you taken it as prescribed?
11
12
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13
                    THE COURT: Does that medication or the mental
14
    illness or disorder issues that you previously identified in
    any way interfere with your ability to understand what's going
15
16
    on in court?
17
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                     No.
18
                    THE COURT: Any difficulty understanding me
19
   whatsoever?
20
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                     No.
21
                    THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering
22
    your guilty pleas here today, that you are waiving or giving
23
   up all of the constitutional rights that are set forth in this
24
   plea questionnaire?
25
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: Do you remember going through a
 1
    list of constitutional rights with Attorney LaVoy?
 2
 3
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
                    THE COURT: Did either you or he check off the
 4
 5
   boxes next to the listing of those rights on Page 1?
 6
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
 7
                    THE COURT: Did you do that to indicate to me
    that you both understand these rights and that you are
 8
    waiving or giving them up by entering your guilty pleas here
10
    today?
11
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                    THE COURT: Do you have any questions
12
13
    regarding the waiver of your rights?
14
                    THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't.
15
                    THE COURT: Do you want or need me to go
    through the listing one by one with you right now?
16
17
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    No, thank you.
18
                    THE COURT: You did that with Attorney LaVoy?
19
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Mm-hmm.
20
                    THE COURT: Was that a yes?
21
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
22
                    THE COURT: All right.
                                            Thank you.
23
                    Now, in order for this Court to accept your
24
    quilty pleas and find you quilty, there must be a factual
25
   basis for the acceptance of your pleas. And I will primarily
```

```
do that by looking at the elements for these offenses and the
 1
    facts that are alleged in the criminal complaint. Do you
 2
   understand that?
 3
                    THE DEFENDANT:
 4
                                    Yes.
                    THE COURT: Do you agree that I can rely upon
 5
 6
    the facts in the criminal complaint in assessing whether there
 7
    is a factual basis for your pleas here today?
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
 9
                    THE COURT: Did you talk with Attorney LaVoy
10
    about the elements for each one of these offenses?
11
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12
                    THE COURT: Did you also talk about the
    interrelationship between the facts that are alleged in the
13
14
    complaint and those elements?
15
                    THE DEFENDANT:
16
                    THE COURT: Do you believe you fully
   understand that?
17
18
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    I do.
19
                    THE COURT: So let's look at battery.
20
                    There are further elements for this offense.
21
    The first is that you caused bodily harm to another person; in
22
    this case, Victim A.
23
                    Second, that you intended to cause bodily harm
24
   to the other person.
25
                    Three, you caused bodily harm without the
```

```
1
    consent of Victim A.
 2
                    And four, you knew that the other person did
 3
   not consent.
                    Do you understand these elements, sir?
 4
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                     I do.
 5
 6
                    THE COURT: The battery relates to the
7
    incident on July 20 of 2022. There's, obviously, multiple
 8
    charges as it relates to that date.
                    It is alleged in the complaint that you
10
    intentionally punched Victim A on that date without her
11
    consent, causing either an injury or even just pain that would
12
   qualify as harm.
13
                    Do you understand that?
14
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                    THE COURT: Did you cause bodily harm to
15
16
   Victim A on July 20 of 2022?
17
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
18
                    THE COURT: Did you do that by intentionally
19
   punching her without her consent?
20
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
21
                    THE COURT: You knew that she did not consent.
    Is that true?
22
23
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
                    THE COURT: Do you agree that you committed
25
   this offense, sir?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 1
 2
                    THE COURT: To the charge of battery as
 3
    charged in the information, what is your plea?
                    THE DEFENDANT:
 4
                                    Guilty.
                    THE COURT: Now, Victim A, at the time, you
 5
 6
   were married to and have children with. Is that true?
 7
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
                    THE COURT: You agree that it's a qualifying
 8
    relationship under the law to be designated as a crime of
10
    domestic abuse?
11
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12
                    THE COURT: Let's next talk about criminal
13
    damage to property. Did you discuss these elements with
14
   Attorney LaVoy?
15
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
16
                    THE COURT: There are five of them.
                                                          The first
17
    is that you caused damage to physical property in this case,
18
    an Ipad and some blocks.
19
                    Two, that you intentionally caused the damage.
20
                    Three, the property belonged to another
21
   person.
22
                    Four, you caused the damage without the
23
   consent of the other person or the owner.
24
                    And five, you knew that the property belonged
25
   to another person and knew that the other person did not
```

```
consent to the damage. Do you understand these elements?
 1
 2
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
 3
                    THE COURT: My understanding is that you
    intentionally caused damage to an Ipad and some blocks, which
 4
 5
    I think might have been a toy that was in your home on the
 6
    date in question. Is that true?
 7
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
                    THE COURT: Did the Ipad belong to either
 8
   Victim A or your children?
10
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
11
                    THE COURT: All right. And did you
12
    intentionally smash it on that date?
13
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
14
                    THE COURT: And that, of course, caused
15
    damage, you would agree?
16
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
17
                    THE COURT: And you -- did you do that without
18
    the consent of the owner?
19
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
20
                    THE COURT: And, of course, you knew that the
21
   property was not yours and that it belonged to another member
22
    of your household and that they did not consent to the damage?
23
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
                    THE COURT: All right. Do you agree that you
25
    committed this offense?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: To the charge then of criminal 3 damage to property - domestic abuse, as charged in the information, what is your plea? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 5 THE COURT: All right. And then the last 6 7 charge is the violating a domestic abuse contact prohibition. And for this, your attorney actually submitted a copy of the 8 substantive Jury Instruction, and you signed that. Is that 10 true? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: There are three elements. Did you 13 discuss these with Attorney LaVoy? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: The first one is that you had been arrested for a domestic abuse incident. That means, in this 16 17 case, battery, disorderly conduct, or the criminal damage to 18 property by an adult person, against your spouse, or even any other family member. Do you understand that? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: The second element is that you had 22 been advised orally and in writing that you should avoid the 23 residence of the alleged victim, Victim A -- she is the victim of the domestic abuse incident -- or any premises temporarily 24 25 occupied by her, contacting or causing any person to contact

```
her.
         Do you understand that element?
 1
                    THE DEFENDANT:
 2
                                    Yes.
                    THE COURT:
                                The third element is that you
 3
    intentionally did not avoid the residence of the alleged
 4
 5
    victim of the domestic abuse incident, or any premises
    temporarily occupied by her, or contacting or causing any
 6
 7
   person to contact Victim A within 72 hours of the arrest for a
    domestic abuse incident. Do you understand that?
 8
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
10
                    THE COURT: My understanding is that on
11
    July 21 of 2022, so the very next day, so within, you know,
12
    24 hours or less, you had contact -- or you went to the
    residence where Victim A was residing. Is that true?
13
14
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                    THE COURT: I believe you also may have
15
    contacted her either through a -- either directly or through a
16
17
    third party to try to go to the home to remove some items.
                                                                 Is
18
    that true?
19
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
20
                    THE COURT: You were -- and you understand
21
    that at the time that you did that, you had been arrested for
22
    the battery, the criminal damage to property, and the
23
    disorderly conduct the day before?
2.4
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
                    THE COURT: And when you were arrested, at
25
```

```
some point, the police advised you orally and in writing that
 1
 2
    you should avoid Victim A's residence. Is that true?
 3
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                    THE COURT: They also told you you should
 4
 5
   avoid contacting her in any way. Is that true?
 6
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 7
                    THE COURT: In other words, you were given
   what we call the 72-hour Contact Prohibition Form that you
 8
   acknowledge to police?
10
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes
11
                    THE COURT: And you didn't abide by that.
   that true?
12
13
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
14
                    THE COURT: You went to the residence
    intentionally?
15
16
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
17
                    THE COURT: And thereby violated that 72-hour
18
   no contact prohibition?
19
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
20
                    THE COURT: Do you agree that you committed
21
    this offense?
22
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                    THE COURT: To the charge then of contact
24
   after a domestic abuse arrest, what is your plea?
25
                    THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
```

```
THE COURT: Anyone make any threats or force
 1
 2
    you in any way to enter your guilty pleas here today?
 3
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                     No.
                    THE COURT: Anyone make any promises to you in
 4
 5
    exchange for your guilty pleas?
 6
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                     No.
 7
                    THE COURT: Do you believe you're entering
    your guilty pleas here today freely, voluntarily, and
 8
    intelligently?
10
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
11
                    THE COURT: Do you understand that if you're
12
   not a citizen of the United States that your pleas of guilty
   may result in deportation, the exclusion from admission into
13
14
    this country, or the denial of naturalization under federal
15
    law?
16
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
17
                    THE COURT: Have you had enough time to
18
    discuss this case and your decision to enter your guilty pleas
19
   with Attorney LaVoy?
20
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    Yes.
21
                    THE COURT: Have you been satisfied with the
22
    representation he has provided for you?
23
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
                    THE COURT: Is there anything about this
25
   hearing you do not understand?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT:
 1
                                     No.
 2
                    THE COURT: Are you confused about anything
 3
    that you are doing here?
                    THE DEFENDANT:
 4
                                     No.
                    THE COURT: Are you pleading quilty to each
 5
 6
   one of these offenses because you are guilty?
 7
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                    THE COURT: Attorney LaVoy, have you gone over
 8
    the Plea Questionnaire/Waiver of Rights Form with your client?
10
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes.
                    THE COURT: Have you gone over the elements of
11
12
   the offenses and the maximum penalties?
13
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes.
14
                    THE COURT: And are you satisfied he
   understands them?
15
16
                    MR. LAVOY:
                                Yes.
17
                    THE COURT: Have you satisfied that your
18
    client understands all of the rights that he is giving up by
19
   pleading guilty today?
20
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes.
21
                    THE COURT: And are you satisfied that his
22
   pleas are being made freely, voluntarily, and intelligently?
23
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes.
24
                    THE COURT: And you have also discussed with
25
   him the effect of not only the read-in charges, but the
```

```
uncharged read-in offense?
 1
 2
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes.
 3
                    THE COURT: And you believe that he fully
   understand that?
 4
                    MR. LAVOY:
 5
                                Yes.
 6
                    THE COURT:
                                The Court approves the waiver and
7
    finds that the defendant is entering his plea freely,
   voluntarily, and intelligently, along with a full
 8
    understanding as to the nature of the charges, the maximum
   possible penalties, and all of the rights being given up by
10
11
   pleading guilty. And the Court accepts your pleas.
12
                    State offering the facts in the criminal
    complaint?
13
14
                    MR. PITZO:
                                Yes.
                    THE COURT: Any objection?
15
16
                    MR. LAVOY:
                                No.
17
                    THE COURT:
                                The Court finds that there is a
18
    factual basis for the acceptance of your pleas. And based
    upon your pleas of guilty, the Court finds you guilty of
19
20
    Counts 2, 4, and 6. All remaining charges are dismissed and
21
    read in. And I adjudge you convicted on today's date.
22
                    Are you and your client prepared for
23
    sentencing then?
24
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes.
25
                    THE COURT: I will acknowledge I have read
```

```
through all of the sentencing materials as well --
 1
 2
                    MR. LAVOY: Thank you.
                    THE COURT: -- that were provided.
 3
                    At this time, Attorney Anderson, I will turn
 4
 5
   to you and your client. If she would either like to either
   make a statement or you on her behalf. We'll just bring the
 6
 7
   microphone, and you can do so from there.
                    Just make sure you identify yourself for the
 8
   record.
            She can be identified how she chooses, either as
10
   Victim A or by her name.
11
                    MS. ANDERSON: And, Your Honor, would --
12
                    THE COURT: Microphone.
13
                    MS. ANDERSON: Your Honor, my client is
   prepared to give an oral of her impact statement. And at this
14
15
   time, would you like her position on the sentencing?
16
                    THE COURT: Yes, please.
17
                    MS. ANDERSON: Okay.
18
                               So I'm Victim A. July 20th, 2022,
                    VICTIM A:
   will be the last time you hit me. The children and I will
19
20
   forever carry the scars of what you did to us and the terror
21
   you caused.
22
                    Your abuse caused our daughter to start
23
   fleeing room, based only on the look you gave her. You no
24
   longer need to verbally abuse her to cause her terror and
25
   screaming. She isn't normal for -- what's wrong with you?
```

Because she didn't do what you wanted her to?

I will always hear our children screaming in terror as you shattered the Ipad, scared we would be next.

After you left the Ipad shattered on the ground, Victims B and C said we shouldn't live with daddy anymore.

I'll always remember them trembling and grabbing each of their hands and walking down to the end of the street barefoot. Waiting for the police to come.

I'll always remember blindly packing their toys and clothes in a panicked frenzy trying to get out of the house that night in case you came back, in which you did.

When I heard the threat you made against me and our children, a threat to kill us, I completely broke down in tears, panicking on how I would keep our kids and myself safe from you.

Twice, the police told me to flee and hide with our kids after several events occurred. I remember trying not to be scared in front of the kids and hold it together until we have a new safe place to go.

Repeatedly I had woken up in a sheer panic, crying, afraid that you found us and were going to hurt us.

The third time you fled, your parents randomly showed up at my parents' house, which is three hours away. I barricaded the kids and I in the laundry room terrified you were there.

I'm mentally, emotionally, and physically exhausted from the damage you have brought on our family. I now suffer from PTSD. It physically hurts when I hear yelling or unexpected loud noises.

I am constantly hypervigilant to grab the kids and run if I need to. No person should have to file a restraining order against their husband. No person should have to file a child abuse injunction on behalf of their children against their father to keep them safe.

Our children, Victims B and C, struggle with fear, anxiety, and trust because of your abuse. Any time there is a loud noise or someone yells, they freeze and look at me in a panic scared something will be thrown or someone will be hit.

The anxiety you have caused them now makes it hard for everyday activities such as swim lessons and reading. They start stuttering and crying saying, "I don't know. I don't know" or "I can't."

They still bring up how daddy knocked over the Christmas tree and smashed their toy kitchen. They remember you following us into my daughter's room, as my back is against the door, and you shoving it open and hit me on the head.

Victim C told me they don't want to be a daddy because daddies hit mommies and yell at their children.

They are in therapy processing how your abuse has impacted them. This shouldn't be. Their home should be a safe place, and you stole that from them. Parents ought to protect their children from outside harm. But in their world, I need to protect them from their own father.

I'm rebuilding our lives not to include violence. And we are now free after years of physical and verbal abuse. But our kids and I will forever carry the trauma you caused.

Because of the threat of killing us and the long history of abuse, and based on the defendant's guilty plea in Counts 2, 4, and 6, with the remaining counts dismissed and read in, and the defendant's stipulation in two years of probation, I'm asking the Court for the following conditions.

MS. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I would like to take over and explain the requests.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. ANDERSON: Your Honor, Victim A agrees with the State's offer with the exception of contact between the defendant and the victims and the slight change to the sobriety testing.

We ask the Court to order no contact with Victim A, unless otherwise allowed in the domestic abuse injunction case, 22CV1055.

With regards to contact with Victims B and C, we ask that the Court continue the bail order language that was set two weeks ago that contact with the children be in a therapeutic setting and only with the recommendation of the Guardian ad Litem in the family court.

The issue on whether this Court should make a general order to follow the family court orders, or make specific orders, was just before the Court a few weeks ago.

The Court agreed with Victim A's request to limit contact to the therapeutic setting.

For the same reasons argued two weeks ago, we believe that this is the most appropriate way to proceed.

While it may seem prudent for this Court to pass the specifics of contact on to the family court, this case needs special consideration.

There is a Guardian ad Litem appointed to the family case. But I believe with her busy schedule, it is not permitting her to give the case the attention it needs. She has not had any contact with Victim A or the children since their initial meeting. She has not had any substantive contact with me, Victim A's divorce attorney.

On the other hand, we do have a psychologist who sees the children weekly. She is an expert in her field and has insight to give recommendations that are truly in the best interests of the children.

It only makes sense to rely on this professional's opinion as the details of contact between the defendant and the victims.

Another complication to this case is that Victim A withdrew the child abuse restraining order based on the following stipulation and order that was filed in the divorce.

If the no contact order in the criminal case is lifted, father's contact with the children shall be supervised at parents' place, and the Guardian ad Litem will follow the mental health professional's recommendations as to when contact should commence.

The parties later agreed in a temporary order hearing in the family case that this stipulation should be expanded to supervised placement in a therapeutic setting, not just at parents' place, after the children's therapist weighed in on her treatment plan.

Unfortunately, the defendant has now de novo'd this family court order to be heard at the end of January.

And I believe is seeking no restrictions on placement and is not in agreement to follow the therapist's recommendations.

Your Honor, if this Court does not restrict contact between the defendant and Victim's B and C to be within the therapeutic setting, the family will find itself back in litigation with a new child abuse restraining order

being filed.

As I stated, that initial restraining order was withdrawn only because the parties agreed to supervised placement and by following the therapist's recommendations.

The other slight change that we are requesting to the offer is that the alcohol testing be not random screens but a hair follicle test every 90 days. The defendant has serious issues with alcohol.

For example, when he made his list of items he would like from the house, he requested all of the liquor in the basement. In all of my years of practicing family law, I've never had someone request liquor in a personal property division.

In the last hearing, this Court left alcohol testing to the family court to decide. The court did order absolute sobriety, but left the details of how to test to family court. Unfortunately, the defendant refused to agree to any testing, and the Guardian ad Litem didn't think it was necessary. Without this Court's orders, we may not have the accountability needed to keep the children safe.

The defendant's friends and family depicted him as a kind, loving, and gentle man who loves his family dearly. Hopefully, all of us who read those letters had red warning lights flashing in our mind as we read the letters.

Those of us who have had any training in domestic abuse know

```
that someone who truly lives a life as described in those
 1
 2
   letters --
                    MR. LAVOY: Judge, I'm going to --
 3
                    MS. ANDERSON: Does not act --
 4
                    MR. LAVOY:
                                I'm going to object.
 5
 6
                    THE COURT:
                                Hold on. No. Let her -- the
7
   victims have a right. She's going to go. And I believe she's
 8
   almost done.
                    MR. LAVOY:
                                I am.
10
                    THE COURT: You can respond accordingly.
11
                    MS. ANDERSON: All right.
12
                                Those of us who have any training
                    MR. LAVOY:
   in domestic abuse know that someone who truly lives a life as
13
14
   described in those letters does not act in isolation, suddenly
15
   threatening to kill his family and himself.
16
                    We know that mentally stable husbands and
17
   fathers who do not engage in domestic abuse do not make these
18
   threats. Ever. It is not a normal reaction to a stressful
   day to threaten to kill your wife and children.
19
20
                    The day that led to this defendant's criminal
21
   charges was a culmination of years of domestic abuse.
22
   not an isolated event.
23
                    The children's therapist has cautioned all of
24
   the attorneys involved that there is significant trauma and
25
   anxiety in these little ones. And that reunification with
```

their father needs to be taken slowly.

For this reason, we ask the Court to order contact be limited to the therapeutic setting with the children's therapist.

We also submitted a restitution request to

Attorney Schmidt. She did inform me that the State was filing
that. I haven't seen if that got pulled through our not, but
it is my understanding that the defendant is objecting to
that.

10 Thank you.

11 THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. Go ahead, Attorney Pitzo.

MR. PITZO: Thank you, Judge. I don't have a lot. As I think, obviously, a lot of this has been covered already. But, certainly, probably the bravest person in this courtroom right now is Victim A here facing her abuser.

And when reading this criminal complaint, this is really a terrifying situation for all involved. I think it's hard not to have your heart break for these kids, because I think this sticks with them forever. Kids need their dad and need their dad to be their protector. And that's not what happened in this case.

The victim (sic) showed really an extreme inability to control his emotions. I would echo Victim A's

counsel in that this is not a normal response to stress. This is not a normal response to a stressful workday.

This is an abnormal response that puts others in danger. And even after that stress comes down, and after this explosion, there's this kind of power and control of the continued contact.

The read-in list is also an example of that.

Even five days later, on July 26th, the defendant is e-mailing basically what I would describe as a suicide note to Victim A. Which after everything that had already been happening, clearly would be extremely upsetting. That's the basis for that read-in list.

However, the defendant does have no prior criminal record. I think probation is appropriate here. I think this Court has the tools to set reasonable parameters of probation. Not only to protect Victim A, B, and C, but also to rehabilitate the defendant, which I know this Court must look to do.

I'm asking that this Court follow the recommendation of the parties. But I'm also asking for the 60 days' condition time. I think the extreme nature of this, and really just the impact that you can see that this has had on members of our community, the continuing impact, the impact that will last far beyond the 60 days in the Waukesha County Jail, I think that's really the minimum that should be done.

And, frankly, this Court also must look at 1 2 punishment. And that is punishment. For someone who has no criminal record and who is otherwise prosocial, 60 days in the 3 Waukesha County Jail, I believe, would be unpleasant. But 4 necessary to try to deter him and others from engaging in this behavior from being this person with their family. 6 7 And, ultimately, I think this Court can craft that sentence with what the State's recommending. And I'd ask 8 that you adopt that recommendation, Judge. Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 Attorney LaVoy? 12 MR. LAVOY: Thank you, Judge. Again, most of this is stipulated. So I want 13 to focus on the issues at hand here. 14 15 Number one, the conditional jail time. 16 understand the State's recommendation for 60 days of 17 conditional jail time. 18 Based on Mr. Liu's lack of prior record, his age, his upfront treatment, his quick acceptance to 19 20 responsibility, and the circumstances of the case, I do not 21 believe that 60 days of condition time is necessary or 22 appropriate. I'm asking the Court to consider staying the 23 full 60 days to be used at the agent's discretion. 24 Or, if the Court does want to impose some 25 period of conditional jail time, that you consider a shorter

period of time with Huber release privileges for work, certainly, and continued treatment.

As it relates to the alcohol and hair follicle testing as requested by the victim's attorney, alcohol is not part of any of the police reports that I've read. I understand that there's been some claims about alcohol use. I don't think it's necessary. Certainly, he does not have a drinking issue, at least as he describes to me. But I don't think that's necessary or appropriate. I don't think hair follicle testing even tests for alcohol, so I'm not sure what that even means. But certainly, no legal street drugs certainly makes sense as well.

As it relates to the no contact provisions, I know the State and the Defense are jointly recommending that Mr. Liu simply follow the family court order. I understand that the victim's attorney wishes for this Court to impose additional restrictions above and beyond the family court. I'm absolutely against that, Judge. I don't see any reason why this Court in a criminal setting should be setting conditions of what a family court should do as it relates to contact.

We have a Guardian ad Litem assigned to this case. I spoke with her last week. She is trying to reunite the children with their father in a appropriate way. I agree if reunification occurs slowly. She wants to do it in a

therapeutic setting to start, but she doesn't want to be handcuffed for the entire two years because the family court can change. Things change throughout those -- that period of time.

And, certainly, I understand Victim A's request for no contact whatsoever, but the family court is in a good position to deal with this. We have lawyers on both sides, we have a judge, we have a Guardian ad Litem. And this Court should not supercede what happens in family court.

I understand Ms. Anderson isn't happy with the orders of the family court. So she's asking this Court to basically handcuff the other court. That doesn't makes sense. I think we should let the family court do their work. And we should simply order that Mr. Liu follow the family court order. The State has agreed with that.

So we're -- again, it's a joint recommendation from the Defense and the State on the issue of following the family court order.

As it relates to the facts of the case, Mr. Liu fully accepts responsibility. He's entered a plea very quickly to these offenses.

The battery included, essentially, a punch to a shoulder. Fortunately, there were no visible injuries in this situation. But, nonetheless, he fully acknowledges that.

The criminal damage to property, obviously, he

acknowledges smashing the iPad.

And he acknowledges going back to the residence to pick up effects and contacting his wife through a third party. She was not present at home when he went back to the home. But, nonetheless, it was a clear violation.

He's entered pleas of guilty to all three of those violations. He's agreed to the read ins in this case.

And he's accepted responsibility at the earliest opportunity.

And I think that's important in noting that Mr. Liu has not had any prior contacts with the Criminal Justice System. Not even a traffic stop or ticket in his lifetime. And at his age, that shows, in my mind, that this is a circumstance that is not part of his typical behavior.

He was going though some very stressful times. Obviously, the breakup of the marriage, some stress at work does not excuse his behavior whatsoever, but you can tell that he was out of control on these days. And since that time, he's made dramatic changes in his life.

I've supplied letters to the Court showing the fact that he's signed up and is now enrolled in the New
Thresholds Program. Which this Court is very aware of it's a very good program through our Family Services.

He's engaged in an Employee Assistance Program through his work. And attended several sessions through his work.

He's also working with an individual counselor at Family Services. And I've supplied letters from all of those individuals. So I think that that shows that he's making changes.

I agree with all parties that this behavior that he engaged in is not typical, not appropriate in any way, shape, or form. And he acknowledges that first and foremost, and he's made changes to his behavior through counseling and following court orders.

He -- obviously, divorces are devastating to all. He's lost his wife. He's lost his home. He's lost his children. He has not seen his children since the incident. He works very, very hard to try to gain the trust back with the family court to try to see his children. And that has actually been worked out.

So he's to see his son on December 7th in a therapeutic setting. And he's to see, potentially, his son and daughter the following weekend, December 14th. Those are currently scheduled right now. So that would be the first time he would see his children. And, again, that would be with a therapist in the therapist's office. And the kids do need their dad. He is a good father.

And I understand that there's been a lot of negativity that's been expressed against Mr. Liu, but in all of my review of this case, he loves his children. He wants to

be there for his children. He wants to support his children. He wants to be part of their life. He's not proud of his behavior. He's not proud of the way he acted in front of his children, but he wants to be a father. And he will do everything in his power to continue to be a good father to those children. And he will work with the therapists and all parties to ensure that that's done in a safe and appropriate way. But I certainly don't think that we need to, again, handcuff the family court situation.

I think you can also look at Mr. Liu's background and character, review the character letters, his work history, his education. He has a master's degree. He's a director of engineering at a corporation here locally. He's worked there for seven months. He supervises 15 people. He makes a good living. He will continue to use that to provide care and resources for his children, including medical insurance, and child support payments, and those types of things. He wants to obviously continue to work for his family.

Mr. Liu was born in China. He came here in 1989 of age 3. His parents are both present in court with him today and are very, very supportive.

Mr. Liu became a U.S. citizen in 2005. And he's really lived the American dream. He went to high school in the Madison area. He received a college degree through the

UW of Madison in mechanical engineering and a master's degree at Purdue. And then has been gainfully employed his entire adult life.

He was married for ten years. Obviously, going through a very contentious and difficult divorce. But he wants to be a parent. He wants to co-parent. He wants to be there for his children.

So based on all those factors, I think the Defense and the State have tried to reach a reasonable resolution. There's now three domestic violence related convictions. There's a stipulation to probation. I think the condition time, based on his age and lack of record, again, is not necessary, or is certainly higher than it should be necessary. And I think I've made my record on the no contact order.

Mr. Liu would like to give a brief statement.

THE COURT: Before I turn to your client, what's the basis for the objection to the restitution? Is it just something you haven't seen?

MR. LAVOY: No. Thank you. I believe that you can only order restitution for crimes considered at sentencing. And I believe that a temporary restraining order in a different case, the attorney's fees to achieve those, are not appropriate. It's a separate case and is not a crime considered at sentencing. So attorney's fees to obtain a

```
restraining order is not appropriate for restitution.
 1
 2
                    So he stipulates to the remainder of the
   restitution, just not the attorney's fees related to what
 3
   appears to --
 4
                    THE COURT:
                                What would be the amount he's
 5
 6
   stipulating to?
 7
                    MR. LAVOY:
                                That's what I don't have in front
            I believe there are -- there's the attorney's fees,
 8
   of me.
   which is like $8,000. But I think there was two other ones
10
    for co-pays. I'm sorry. I don't have them in front of me.
11
                    THE COURT:
                                Does the State have anything on
12
   the request for restitution on the State's position on whether
   that's something that I can order? At least as it relates to
13
   the request for attorney's fees?
14
15
                    MR. PITZO: I do think that that's something
16
   you can order. I don't have a cite for you now, but these
17
   restraining orders were put in place as a result of this
18
   criminal conduct. I think there is a causation here.
19
                    Certainly don't object to having a restitution
20
   hearing to prepare better argument. There's also a request
21
   based on some of this counseling from Cedar Lake Counseling in
22
            Part of that request is a new iPad. But then the
23
   legal fees, of course, are a bulk of that.
24
                    THE COURT: All right. That part of the
25
   hearing will obviously need to be set over for a restitution
```

hearing so that the parties can present their legal arguments
as to the basis for that.
Certainly, I was not aware of the specifics of

that and have not been able to do any independent research at this time. So I'll put the onus on the parties to advise me my authority or lack thereof.

All right. With that, Mr. Liu, this is your opportunity to address the Court. What would you like to say?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I acknowledge and sincerely apologize for my actions on July 20th, 21st, and the 26th.

 $\hbox{ It does not reflect properly on my character.} \\ \hbox{ What I did wrong -- was wrong to the entire family.}$

This stem from my busy work-life balance as a director of engineering and raising two young kids, four and six. It's definitely a really busy time in our relationship.

Since then, I have been taking counseling courses to help cope with my stress. I'm taking the New Thresholds 20-week course. I have six remaining classes. I'm taking Coping with Stress through Aurora. That's offered through my company. And then I'm also doing one-on-one biweekly counseling with a therapist through Waukesha Family Services.

So these have definitely helped me learn the necessary skills to cope with stress, both my personal and

work life.

In the future, I'm going to turn this negative event into a positive. Starting with seeing the kids in a therapeutic setting next Wednesday. And, hopefully, weekly after that.

I'm going to work hard to co-parent for the children's future. And then I'm going to also continue working hard at my career but in a positive manner, along with my work-life balance.

I apologize for all of the events in July. I apologize to my wife. Hopefully you have seen some of the true character in me through the letters written by my family, my friends, and my co-workers.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

You know, oftentimes cases find their way in the Criminal Justice System that have certainly aspects of them that go well beyond what's happening in this courtroom.

And I think this is one of those cases.

This is a family that's been torn apart directly, either because of or through the events in July of 2022 and even before then, given Count 1 that was dismissed and read in.

And what I want the parties to know today is that, you know, my primary function in this court is to hold

Mr. Liu accountable for what he did and what he's been convicted of. There are so many other issues that this Court, due to the limitations of being on the criminal case, just simply cannot address.

It's true that in the family court case, there's better mechanisms in place. It can be a much more holistic approach. Now, I don't say that to minimize anything that has gone on or the seriousness of this offense. But my hands are a little bit tied when it comes to meeting all of the requests that have been made here today, either legally or just practically. And that is because I want to focus on the behavior for which you've been convicted. And this Court's response to that, understanding that there are two families that have been devastated by this, there are two innocent children who have been devastated by this.

And I'll start with that the behavior here, sir, even your own attorney understands that you were out of control in July. There's no amount of stress from a job or even a marriage that might be deteriorating that justifies your response on those couple of days. And including later on with that uncharged read in. The conduct was explosive. It was violent. It was in front of your children.

And so your first step in truly moving forward has to include being honest with yourself about the anger, the rage, and the thought process or underlying attitudes that

resulted in you exploding on your family in this way. You might have only punched her -- and thankfully, we aren't talking about broken bones, injuries that required stitches, or lack -- you know, something, you know, along that -- along those lines. I've certainly seen those things.

But that doesn't mean for the victim that it's any less traumatic to be in a home with someone who is committing acts of violence, whether those be verbal acts of violence, emotional acts of violence, or physical acts of violence.

You may not have a prior record. And that is a good thing. You may be someone who is well respected by your family, friends, and at work. But oftentimes domestic violence occurs behind closed doors, comes at a complete shock to many of the people that the defendant knows. Sometimes the family members of the victim have a suspicion of what's going on, and they can certainly when things come to light can look back and say those are red flags.

But it's not unusual to have this dichotomy with an individual who has a persona and a very productive member of the community at work and with his family but have a very different persona and actions behind closed doors.

Because you don't get to the point where you're this explosive, frankly, without there being some history. That's what the training on domestic violence certainly has taught

me. That it's a progression.

And your progression led to a very scary couple of days for your family, you know, that culminated with you being arrested, followed by you not obeying the 72-hour no contact prohibition, and then violating the restraining order. That's the uncharged read in.

And so from my perspective, sir, even if I take the differing characterizations from both your attorney and the victim's attorney about -- and even the victim herself -- about the extent of the domestic violence, if I even just take that out of the mix for a second, I have serious concerns about you because of your willingness to just simply not follow court orders and rules.

Those things matter. And that's not to diminish what was going on in the home. That's just an extra layer because it goes to your character. Or perhaps lack thereof. So I have to balance all of that.

This is definitely a case that because of your lack of prior record, because of your prompt resolution, because of your upfront treatment, your age, I think probation is a reasonable response in the three misdemeanors.

Those misdemeanors all carry domestic violence

-- or domestic abuse designators. And that's important

because God forbid you ever do something on, you can be

charged as a repeater and the penalties increase from there.

My hope, of course, is that you take the counseling that you're in to heart, that you really work hard in the remaining weeks of that New Thresholds Program to understand the thought process and attitudes that you have about women and children. Because that's part of what leads to domestic violence.

I think your apologies here today are a start. I think you have a ways to go on truly accepting responsibility and being empathetic and understanding the seriousness of this. You can't blame the stress in your life. It may be a factor, but there's much more going on. And I hope your family understands that as well.

I take into account, though, you also have tremendous family support. That's always a good thing. But hopefully they're holding you accountable as well and will not tolerate this type of behavior going forward.

So when I consider the seriousness of these offenses, the need to protect the public, your character and rehabilitative needs as I've just discussed, and understanding the significant impact on the victims, I believe the recommendation from the parties is generally appropriate with a couple of exceptions.

The Court's going to do the following:

On Count 1, the Court's going to actually impose a four-month jail sentence with Huber release

privileges. You will actually serve more than the condition time that was being recommended. I want to hold you accountable. I want to send a very strong message to you, this behavior is not something society condones. And despite your lack of prior record, the violence and the fact the most aggregating factor is violence in front of your children demands a swift and stiff response by this Court.

In the auspices of all of the other mitigating factors that I've already highlighted, including your lack of prior record, your age, and family support, and notwithstanding your strong work history, it's important that you stay working to financially support -- even if you're going through a divorce -- that family. So that will be with Huber release privileges.

And then on Counts 4 and 6, the Court's going to withhold sentence, place you on probation for two years. That will start right away, even though he's serving that jail sentence because it's just an extra layer of monitoring as you are out in the community for the first 100 -- or on Huber for the first 120 days. You will earn good time on that. That's the law. But I think that's appropriate.

I realize that may interfere with your ability to see your children. I don't have an objection to allowing for treatment release, including therapy with the children, as approved by the GAL.

But, frankly, you'll have to meet all of the restrictions and requirements of the Huber release laws. And that will be up to you whether you focus on your work or that. You're only allowed so many hours per day and so many hours or days per week. But nonetheless, I'll allow that.

Then in terms of the conditions of probation, you -- I am going to order the absolute sobriety. I'll leave it up to Probation and Parole in terms of how they wish to monitor that. Again, there are many mechanisms in the family case to ask for things like hair follicle. I, frankly, don't want to tie the hands of the Department of Corrections and require them to do something they may not have the capacity or the resources to do. They may decide that's appropriate, but that will be up to them how they enforce the absolute sobriety. But along with that, I will authorize random UA's.

You are to follow through with any assessments, evaluations, treatment and/or counseling recommended by your agent.

You are to complete domestic violence counseling through a State Certified Battered Intervention Program. And, more specifically, the New Thresholds Program.

You are to follow all conditions of the restraining order. I need the case number, I think, again on that. I believe it's 22CV1051.

MS. ANDERSON: 22CV1055. 1 2 THE COURT: 55. All right. 3 He's to not possess any firearms or dangerous 4 weapons. Restitution will be determined at a later 5 hearing. 6 7 He's to pay court costs, the domestic abuse surcharges, the DNA surcharges on all three counts. 8 He's to have no contact with Victim A unless the agent approves and Victim A agrees. That, of course, 10 11 because I've indicated you have to follow all of the 12 conditions in the restraining order case, the restraining order case trumps that, and that's the idea here. But if that 13 were to go away or be amended in some way, then I will leave 14 15 that up to the discretion of the agent. But Victim A would 16 need to consent as well. 17 The thing about probationary conditions, the 18 parties can always come back to court if need be if it becomes challenging, I guess, through those other legal venus. But, 19 20 obviously, those other legal venus are important. They have 21 some other resources, and I want to give them flexibility as 22 well. But at this point, I will order it the way that I've 23 ordered it. And then, no contact with Victim B -- I'm 24 25 sorry, Witnesses B and C, except as authorized in 22FA767 and

```
as approved by the Guardian ad Litem in that case.
 1
                                                        Initial
 2
   contact shall only be in a therapeutic setting until the GAL
   approves otherwise.
 3
                    Because I've imposed a jail sentence on Count
 4
 5
   2, I'm not going to order any further condition time, at least
 6
   up front.
              What I will do for the agent so that there's some
 7
   discretion there, on each one of those counts, impose but stay
   30 days of condition time. So, both Counts 4 and 6.
 8
                    I will need -- I want him to report by this
10
   Friday by 6 p.m. So that will give him an opportunity to do
11
   the DNA samples, to check in with probation and get that set
12
   up, and then report to commence that sentence.
13
                    THE CLERK:
                                Is there any credit?
14
                                There's probably a couple of days
                    THE COURT:
15
   credit. Attorney LaVoy?
16
                    MR. LAVOY: Yes. As it relates to Count 2,
17
   there's a one-day credit. And I believe $500 bail on that
18
   one, which we'll just hold until the restitution hearing.
19
                    And then on Count 6, there's a one-day credit.
20
                    THE COURT: Any objection?
21
                    MR. PITZO:
                                No.
22
                    THE COURT:
                                Is there any credit on the read
23
   in?
        Was he arrested?
24
                    MR. LAVOY:
                                 No. He was never --
25
                    (Discussing with client.)
```

```
He indicates one day on the
 1
                    MR. LAVOY:
 2
   read in.
 3
                    THE COURT:
                                 All right. So that should go to
    Count 1. Or, I'm sorry, Count 2 then. So two days of credit
 4
   as to Count 2. One day of credit as to Count 6.
 6
                    Any cash bond on hand will be held subject to
7
   the restitution hearing.
 8
                    And all other costs, surcharges, and fees
    shall be paid as a condition of probation even for the
10
    sentence on Count 2.
11
                    Anything that's outstanding at the conclusion
12
   of his probation would be converted to a civil judgment. I
    could subject you to collections.
13
14
                    Before I go through the appellate rights,
    anything the State thinks I've overlooked?
15
16
                    MR. PITZO:
                                No.
                    THE COURT: And then I know we need a date.
17
18
                    Anything the Defense thinks I've overlooked?
19
                    MR. LAVOY: No. Thank you.
20
                    THE COURT: All right.
21
                    Then, Madam Clerk, we do need a restitution
   hearing with 60 days.
22
23
                    THE CLERK: Can we do January 12th at 3:00?
                    (Discussion with the Clerk.)
24
25
                    MR. PITZO: That works for me.
```

```
THE COURT: How about for --
 1
 2
                    MS. ANDERSON: That works for -- oh, I'm
 3
    sorry. 4:00?
                    THE CLERK: 3:00.
 4
 5
                    THE COURT:
                                3:00.
 6
                    MS. ANDERSON: Yes. And, Your Honor, we will
7
   be filing an amended restitution request to include all
 8
    attorney's fees related to representation in this case as
   well.
10
                    THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11
                    Please make sure that all restitution requests
12
   are filed with the Court no later than two weeks prior to that
13
   hearing. Both sides, once that's filed, will have week to
14
    file simultaneous written positions on whether the Court can
15
   order or all of those amounts.
16
                    And since the State doesn't technically
17
    represent victims, it will be up to you, Attorney Anderson, to
18
    file the legal memoranda on the requests in the Court's
19
    authority.
20
                    I won't be requiring the State to do that.
21
                    MR. PITZO:
                                Thank you.
22
                    (Proceedings ended at 10:04 a.m.)
23
24
25
```

I, Michelle M. Strehl, hereby certify that I am the Official Court Reporter for the Circuit Court, Branch 2, Waukesha County, Wisconsin. I further certify that I have carefully transcribed from and compared the foregoing pages with the original digital audio recording from said proceeding and that this transcript is true and correct to the best of my ability. Dated this 7th day of December, 2022. (Electronically Signed) Michelle M. Strehl Official Court Reporter